		1
1		S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF NEW YORK
2	EASTERN DISTRI	X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: 12-CR-00134
4	V.	: U.S. Courthouse
5	ADNAN HAUSA,	Brooklyn, New York
6 7	Defendant.	April 8, 2016 : 10:45 o'clock a.m.
8		X
9	TRANSCRI PT OF	
10		IONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN IS DISTRICT JUDGE
11	APPEARANCES:	
12	For the Government:	ROBERT L. CAPERS
13		United States Attorney By: SHREVE ARIAIL
14		MELODY WELLS MATTHEW JACOBS
15 16		Assistant U.S. Attorneys 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201
17	For the Defendant:	SUSAN KELLMAN, ESQ.
18		JOHN DRATEL, ESQ. DAVID STERN, ESQ.
19		
20	Court Reporter:	Anthony M. Mancuso 225 Cadman Plaza East Prooklyn Now York 11201
21		Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 613-2419
22		
23		
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical	l stenography, transcript
25	produced by CAT.	

1 (Case called; both sides ready.) 2 MR. ARIAIL: Good morning, your Honor. Shreve 3 Ariail for the United States, with Melody Wells and Matthew 4 Jacobs. 5 MS. KELLMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Susan Kellman, with David Stern and John Dratel. We are ready to 6 7 proceed. 8 THE COURT: Just for the record, I will note we got 9 the a call from the marshals this morning that Mr. Hausa was 10 again refusing to come to court and the marshals asked if I 11 wanted a forced and consistent with our prior discussions I said no force order. I take it, therefore, that counsel is 12 13 waiving his appearance? 14 MS. KELLMAN: Yes, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. So, we have to set a 16 schedul e. 17 MR. ARIAIL: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: What's the bid and ask on the schedule? 19 MR. ARIAIL: I'm sorry. 20 THE COURT: What are the two sides' positions on the 21 schedul e? 22 MR. ARIAIL: We spoke to defense counsel in advance. 23 They have indicated they needed some more time to review the 24 materials we recently disclosed to them and they had proposed 25 putting us out for about three or four weeks -- I think four

weeks from their perspective -- to come back in to set a scheduling order for the case. I checked with your clerk about availability for dates and it sounds like you're not around in three or four weeks and we I think at this point would be fine with a date in May as long as we have a date certain by which we could propose a scheduling order to the court to carry us through to the October trial date. We're just a little nervous at this point. As we've indicated before, there's a lot of stuff to do and we want to make sure it's on the calendar and set. I'm sure defense counsel has perspectives on that. That's where we are.

THE COURT: Before I hear from defense counsel, let me ask defense counsel: Is there any are reason why we couldn't have a date in May at which we would set the final schedule leading up to the trial?

MS. KELLMAN: I think the answer to that, your Honor, is that having not had an opportunity to review the material that is in the SCIF yet, depending on what we find in there we don't know what if any additional actions are going to be required in terms of investigation and the like. So it is hard to give the court an intelligent answer until we have had a chance to see the materials that we can't talk about.

THE COURT: When did you get the government's production?

MS. KELLMAN: Tuesday at three.

THE COURT: Well, let's do this then: Why don't we target the date in May to be the date when we set the final schedule. That means that the defendant really has to make a good-faith effort to try to come up with a workable schedule that will get us to the trial date. If there are extenuating circumstances, I agree you can't know them now until you see the material. You might say we have to take 20 trips to Saudi Arabia and we can't do it by October. Something like that. We don't know what's going to come up. Let's try not to have something like that come up. Let's see if we can do it and have definite dates by which each thing has to happen and allow is to keep the current trial date. Any problem with that?

MR. ARIAIL: I don't think -- conceptually, no, your Honor. My concern is that we kind of keep slipping and I'm just concerned that we need to make sure that we're really moving towards this October trial date. We are preparing ourselves to travel overseas on a number of trips to Italy, to Nigeria, to various other locations. We've got witnesses, like I said, all around the globe, and we really do need to have a fixed date and I appreciate that getting into the SCIF is sometimes complicated. The materials are not that voluminous, as your Honor is aware, and I think we just need to sort of put our nose down and go forward.

From our perspective, we're going to proceed with

filing various briefs and things that we think we can file.

Today we're going to file a motion for an anonymous jury and we're just going to keep kind of moving forward with the goal of getting this all done by October, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. I think that's right. All I'm doing is I'm saying I can't lock the defendant into a position today because they just got the materials on Tuesday. But I wonder if we need to wait a whole month in order for the defendant to know what needs to be done between now and trial.

MR. STERN: Judge, I think what we would like to do is this: We are going to all meet today in the SCIF. We'll take the next few days to review the material, probably not the weekend. What we would like to do then is write you a letter ex parte telling you the things that we're going to make applications to you to do. We don't know yet what they are going to be. There may be a lot. There may not be a lot. So that you'll have an idea and the government won't have the same idea as you but will have some idea before the next date what we intend to do and how we intend to proceed.

THE COURT: Okay.

I think that's fine. I kind of like to meet on April 29 and to ask the defendant by that point to know what needs to be done. As the government points out, and you haven't appreciated this yet because you just got the materials, they are not all that voluminous and I think you'll

6 1 have to work hard in order to come up with a plausible 2 schedul e. But I think it's doable without making your lives miserable. So I think we ought to try for that. 3 4 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I will be out of town in an 5 ABA conference that I attend on April 29. 6 THE COURT: Do I need all three of you here, if you 7 have all a conferred before and agreed upon a schedule? 8 MR. DRATEL: We'll work it out, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we say 2:15 on April 29. 10 11 MR. ARIAIL: That's a fine with the government, your 12 Honor. And I would though, in terms of the ex-parte process, 13 encourage defense counsel to come to us at the outset and with 14 particular requests if they so have them, you know, to see 15 whether we can accommodate whatever they want before we get to 16 motion practice or anything like that. 17 I very much encourage the parties to THE COURT: 18 talk about this and I think that needs to be done before I get 19 the ex-parte description of where you are going. 20 MR. STERN: Assuming it doesn't reveal defense 21 strategy, we'll be glad to do that. 22 There's some things you won't be able to THE COURT: 23 say. There's some things you will. 24 I will exclude time based on case complexity until 25 the 29 of April. Anything else we need to consider?

```
7
               MS. KELLMAN: No, your Honor.
1
               MR. ARIAIL: I would also say, your Honor, as we
 2
    intend to file motions today --
 3
               THE COURT: Keep them coming.
 4
               MR. ARIAIL: That is another basis.
 5
               THE COURT: I'd rather have them now than a week
 6
7
    before trial.
8
                           00000000000
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```